Received: 16 November 2017 | Accepted: 1 February 2018

DOI: 10.1111/1365-2435.13063

A MECHANISTIC UNDERSTANDING OF GLOBAL CHANGE ECOLOGY

Functional Ecology | BRITISH ECOLOGICAL SOCIETY

Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in terrestrial ecosystems: Its impact on plant communities and consequences across trophic levels

Carly J. Stevens[1] | Thomas I. David[1,2] | Jonathan Storkey[2]

[1]Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

[2]Sustainable Agriculture Sciences, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, UK

Correspondence
Carly J. Stevens
Email: c.stevens@lancaster.ac.uk

Handling Editor: Charles Fox

Abstract

1. The global nitrogen cycle has been greatly perturbed by human activities resulting in elevated nitrogen deposition in many parts of the world. The threat nitrogen deposition poses to ecosystem function and biodiversity is increasingly recognised.
2. In terrestrial systems, impacts on the plant community are mainly through eutrophication and soil acidification. Interactions with secondary environmental drivers such as extreme weather and disease are also key mechanisms.
3. Impacts on consumers can be caused by changes in the quality or quantity of food as a result of changes in food plant chemistry or species composition, changes in vegetation structure leading to a change in the availability of prey species, nesting sites or cooled microclimates or changes in the phenology of plants leading to causing phenological asynchrony.
4. Primary consumers have received considerably less research attention than plants but negative impacts have been observed for both folivorous insects and pollinators. Mammal herbivores have received little research attention.
5. New analysis of changes in plant traits along a gradient of nitrogen deposition in the UK shows that plants pollinated by large bees were negatively associated with N deposition whilst low pH was associated with lower nectar production, reduced occurrence of plants pollinated by long-tongued insects and a reduction in plants with larger floral units.
6. Very few studies have investigated the effects on secondary consumers, but those that have suggest that there are likely to be negative impacts.
7. This review identifies considerable knowledge gaps in the impacts of N deposition on higher tropic levels and highlights that for many groups, knowledge of N deposition impacts is patchy at best. Evidence that has been collected suggests that there are likely to be impacts on primary and secondary consumers making this a priority area for investigation.

KEYWORDS

atmospheric nitrogen deposition, consumers, folivorous insects, herbivores, pollinators, primary producers

© 2018 The Authors. Functional Ecology
© 2018 British Ecological Society

1 | INTRODUCTION

Anthropogenic activities produce over half of the nitrogen (N) fixed globally (Fowler et al., 2013; Kanakidou, Myriokfalitakis, Dasalakis, & Fanourgakis, 2016). In 1850, 15% of N came from anthropogenic sources but by 2005 this had increased to 60%, in 2050 the proportion is expected to remain similar (Kanakidou et al., 2016). Much of this increase has been driven by a global demand for food (Fowler et al., 2013; Tilman, Balzer, Hill, & Befort, 2011). Industrial fertiliser production through the Haber–Bosch process and biological fixation of N in agricultural systems together account for 80% of anthropogenic N fixation. The remaining 20% of anthropogenic N fixation comes from combustion, primarily within internal combustion engines and industrial power plants (Fowler et al., 2013). These increases in the global production of N have resulted in large changes in fluxes of N. Over the last century atmospheric deposition of N has doubled, increasing from 1.9 Tg N per year in 1900 to 3.8 Tg N per year in 2000 (Sutton et al., 2011).

Globally, the highest levels of N deposition can be seen in highly populated regions; particularly North America, Europe and parts of Asia. In Asia fertiliser N production and biological N fixation in cultivated crops is almost twice that of the next highest region (Europe), whereas N production by energy production is highest in North America. In the future, areas of high deposition are likely to become more widespread encompassing larger parts of Asia together with South America and Africa (Galloway et al., 2004).

This excess N in the environment has a wide range of impacts on the functions and processes occurring in the atmosphere, oceans and terrestrial habitats. Over the last three decades the threat posed to biodiversity and ecosystem function by atmospheric N deposition has been increasingly recognized (Bobbink et al., 2010). However, much of our current understanding is focussed on how N deposition impacts upon primary producers, in particular plants (see below). There are few studies demonstrating impacts on other trophic levels. By reviewing published literature and re-analysing published data this manuscript aims to review how atmospheric N deposition impacts upon plant communities and how these impacts could have consequences for higher tropic levels.

2 | IMPACTS OF NITROGEN DEPOSITION ON ABOVE-GROUND PRIMARY PRODUCERS

The impacts of N deposition on plants and their communities have been widely researched and, although knowledge gaps remain, the understanding of the mechanisms and the likely outcome of increasing N deposition are known for many terrestrial habitats (Bobbink et al., 2010).

2.1 | Direct toxicity

The majority of mechanisms for impact, even on primary producers, are indirectly mediated by changes in soil chemistry and other abiotic and biotic interactions. The exception to this is direct toxicity of N gasses and aerosols. Evidence for direct toxicity of N is limited to locations with high atmospheric concentrations of forms of ammonia such as close to a large source (Bobbink et al., 2010). Toxicity is thought to occur through disruption of electron transport in chloroplasts and it typically leads to yellowing of leaves, reduced growth (particularly of roots) and necrosis (Pearson & Stewart, 1993; van der Eerden, 1982). Sensitivity to ammonia toxicity is species-specific and related to a range of abiotic factors with toxicity typically estimated to occur above concentrations of 75 $\mu g/m^3$ (van der Eerden, 1982). It should be noted that critical levels for ammonia for higher plants is 3 $\mu g/m^3$ and for bryophytes and lichens is 1 $\mu g/m^3$ (Cape, van der Eerden, Sheppard, Leith, & Sutton, 2009) due to the potential for other effects. Toxicity from ammonia and ammonium can also occur if N accumulates in the soil leading to poor root and shoot development (Roelofs, Bobbink, Brouwer, & De Graaf, 1996).

2.2 | Nitrogen enrichment

The most commonly cited impact on plant communities is ecosystem N enrichment. Because N can be an important limiting resource in the soil (Vitousek & Howarth, 1991), the addition of N via atmospheric deposition has the potential to increase biomass production. Consequently it can change species composition as more nutrient demanding species increase over those that are stress-tolerant and adapted to low nutrient condition outcompeting them for light and other resources (Ceulemans, Hulsmans, Berwaers, Van Acker, & Honnay, 2017; Hautier, Niklaus, & Hector, 2009). It also impacts soil N cycling. Impacts of N enrichment have been observed in a large number of N addition experiments (e.g. Bobbink & Willems, 1987; Clark & Tilman, 2008; Horswill, O'Sullivan, Phoenix, Lee, & Leake, 2008) as well as regional surveys (e.g. Stevens et al., 2010; Van den Berg et al., 2011). Typically, species that have been observed to decline are those that are small in stature and slow growing such as *Campanula rotundifolia* (Stevens, Dise, Gowing, & Mountford, 2006; Van den Berg et al., 2011), *Thymus polytrichus* (Carroll, Caporn, Johnson, Morecroft, & Lee, 2003) and those that lose their competitive advantage gained by expending resources to get N by other mechanisms such as *Drosera rotundifolia* (Millett, Svensson, Newton, & Rydin, 2012) and *Lotus corniculatus* (Stevens et al., 2011). Seedling recruitment may also be impaired (e.g. Ceulemans, Hulsmans, Berwaers, et al., 2017). Enriched soil conditions can also lead to an increase in the prevalence of invasive species (e.g. Corbin & D'Antonio, 2004) and higher growth rates associated with elevated N deposition has been linked to increased sensitivity to extreme weather such as frost (Caporn, Ashenden, & Lee, 2000), wind (Grulke, Andersen, Fenn, & Miller, 1998) and drought (Friedrich, von Oheimb, Kriebitzsch, & Weber, 2012).

2.3 | Soil acidification

The addition of N can have other impacts on soil chemistry. For instance soil acidification can occur where is dissolved in rainwater to

form nitric acid and through plant uptake of N leading reductions in soil pH. Changing pH also has implications for the chemical availability of metals and other nutrients in the soil (Tyler & Olsson, 2001). Reductions in soil pH and consequent changes in soil chemistry can impact on the pool of species suited to growing in the soil with a smaller pool of species found in soils with a low pH (Schuster & Diekmann, 2003). Impacts of acidification have primarily been observed in poorly buffered soils where the soil pH is already acidic (Maskell, Smart, Bullock, Thompson, & Stevens, 2010). Aluminium toxicity is one potential impact of soil acidification (Dise & Wright, 1995).

2.4 | Plant biochemistry

Increased soil N and consequent increases in N uptake by plants can lead to changes in plant biochemistry. Changes in the tissue N content of plant tissues have been widely reported in higher and lower plants (e.g. Hicks, Leith, Woodin, & Fowler, 2000; Pitcairn et al., 1998). In a review of 25 N addition studies Throop and Lerdau (2004) found that foliar N concentration increased in all but one case. Furthermore, a review of 106 long-term studies on litter decomposition found that trees growing in high N deposition areas have higher N concentrations in foliar litter than those growing in low N deposition areas (Berg & Meentemeyer, 2002). This in turn can make plants more susceptible to herbivory. This is an important impact on secondary consumers but also has implications for species composition as some species are preferentially grazed. Other changes such as concentrations and ratios of photosynthetic pigments (Arroniz-Crespo, Leake, Horton, & Phoenix, 2008), amino acids (Throop & Lerdau, 2004) and enzyme activity (Hogan, Minnullina, Sheppard, Leith, & Crittenden, 2010) have also been observed.

3 | IMPACTS ON HIGHER TROPHIC LEVELS

In their review of mechanisms for the effects of increased N deposition on fauna Nijssen, WallisDeVries, and Siepel (2017) identify nine pathways by which N deposition can impact on fauna. The first two of these, change in soil pH and trace element availability, and increase in plant biomass leading to chemical stress for fauna, are largely limited to impact in aquatic systems or moist terrestrial ecosystems. Other pathways occurring in both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems and are: disturbed nutrient balance in plants leading to a change in food plant quality; changes in plant species composition leading to a change in food plant quality; changes in species composition leading to a change in food plant quantity; higher and more homogenous vegetation structure leading to a decrease in the availability of prey and host species; higher and more homogenous vegetation structure leading to cooler and moister microclimates; an increase in plant biomass leading to cooler and moister microclimates; and finally an increase in plant biomass leading to a decrease in reproductive habitat. An additional possible mechanism is changes in plant phenology meaning that the timing of resource availability is not matched to demand (Cleland, Chiarello, Loarie, & Mooney, 2006; Throop & Lerdau, 2004).

3.1 | Impacts of nitrogen deposition on aboveground primary consumers

3.1.1 | Folivorous insects

One of the groups most likely to be impacted by N deposition are folivorous insects and as such, there is a relatively large body of evidence. The most likely mechanisms for N deposition impacts on insect herbivores are changes in the quality and availability of host plant tissues (Throop & Lerdau, 2004).

As described above, there is a large body of evidence linking foliar N concentration with N deposition (e.g. Berg & Meentemeyer, 2002; Hicks et al., 2000; Pitcairn, Fowler, & Grace, 1995; Throop & Lerdau, 2004). These changes in N content of food plants can be linked to increased herbivore performance with increased survivorship, development, growth and reproductive rates linked to higher N (e.g. Audusseau, Kolb, & Janz, 2015; Pöyry et al., 2017; Slansky & Feeny, 1977; Throop & Lerdau, 2004; White, 1993). For example Slansky and Feeny (1977) report positive relationships between food N content and larval growth rate of the cabbage butterfly *Pieris rapae*. A different widely known example of insects responding positively to N concentrations in plant tissues is that of *Lochmaea suturalis* (heather beetle). Like a number of insect herbivores, the heather beetle is prone to periodic outbreaks and between 1915 and 1980 they occurred approximately every 20 years. However, after 1980 the frequency of outbreaks increased which has been linked to elevated tissue N concentrations in *Calluna vulgaris* (heather). Heather beetle had a devastating effect on Dutch heathlands leading to large declines in heather cover (Bobbink, Hornung, & Roelofs, 1998; Brunsting & Heil, 1985). Relationships are not always straight forward. For instance in the butterfly *Lycaena tityrus* higher growth rates and decreased development times were observed at high N levels. However, because pupal and larval mortality was high and there was a reduction in adult size there was no net benefit to the butterflies (Fischer & Fiedler, 2000).

Relationships between N content and grazer growth and development are also not observed in all species. Audusseau et al. (2015) report a positive correlation between N content and development time to pupal stage and pupal mass in *Aglais urticae*, a nettle feeding butterfly. However, this was not observed in two other nettle feeding species. One reason why impacts may not be seen in some species is that most insects have an optimal N concentration for host foliage and this differs between species. Throop (2002) found that *Trichoplusia ni* (cabbage looper) larvae grown on *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* (common ragweed) had greatest survival under the highest foliar N (6.76% N), whereas larvae of a leaf beetle, *Ophraella communa*, showed highest survival at the lowest foliar N concentration (2.20% N). Another factor can be the impacts related to the concentration of carbon-based secondary defensive chemicals. A review by Throop

and Lerdau (2004) showed that six of seven simulated N deposition studies showed a positive relationship between N availability and the concentration of carbon-based secondary defensive chemicals. Further impacts may be mediated by changes in nutrient stoichiometry impacting on behaviour or development, for example *Gryllus texensis* (Texas field cricket) mate attraction signalling is controlled by the percentage of phosphorus present in the body (Bertram, Schade, & Elser, 2006).

Abundance of insect herbivores has also been linked to increased N in insect herbivore food sources. For example herbivore abundance, and particularly the abundance of sawflies and a rachis mining lepidopteran, increased along with *Pteridium aquilinum* (bracken) biomass with N fertilisation (Eatough Jones, Fenn, & Paine, 2011). The abundance of sucking insects, leafrollers and plutellids, on *Abies concolor* (California black oaks) also responded positively to N fertilisation at a polluted site in California (Eatough Jones, Paine, & Fenn, 2008). Increases in abundance of herbivores considered pest species have also been observed. For instance *Dendroctonus brevicomis* (western pine beetle) activity increased 20% and tree mortality increased 8% with 150 kg N ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$ applied at a site with low levels of background pollution in southern California. Impacts of increased herbivore abundance and performance are not always sufficient to cancel out the positive effects of fertilisation on food plants. Throop (2005) found that in *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* positive influences of N deposition on root and seed biomass were generally suppressed by herbivory, whereas shoot biomass was not supressed.

The studies reviewed above focus on the effect of N deposition on individual invertebrate species through changes in the quality of their food plant. However, the shifts in plant community composition in response to increasing soil fertility and decreasing pH as a result of N deposition will also be expected to have an impact on the overall abundance and diversity of the invertebrate community. It would not be possible to quantify these effects by studying all the trophic interactions at the species level, however, inferences can be made about the quality of primary production provided to consumers using data on the functional composition of the plant community (Lavorel et al., 2013). In terms of the functional "effect traits" that would be expected to determine resource quality, there is evidence in the literature for the importance of two leaf traits, leaf dry matter content (LDMC, g/g) and specific leaf area (SLA, g/m^2) in determining the response of invertebrate communities (Perez-Harguindeguy et al., 2003; Storkey et al., 2013; Storkey, Doring, et al., 2015). Plant communities dominated by species with a high SLA and low LDMC are predicted to be more palatable and support proportionally more invertebrates. A previous analyses of the effect of increasing atmospheric N deposition on the functional composition of vegetation communities focussing on the suite of response traits (sensu Lavorel & Garnier, 2002) associated with resource competition (Helsen, Ceulemans, Stevens, & Honnay, 2014) concluded that grasslands with higher N loads had a decreased functional diversity and an increase in the proportion of clonal graminoids. Grasses tend to have a higher LDMC and lower SLA than forbs and their increased dominance in environments with high N deposition could potentially decrease the overall value of the community to invertebrate herbivores.

Monophagous species are particularly vulnerable to changes in plant tissue chemistry but even species that do not show a strong preference for a specific plant species, concentrations of N in plant tissues are species specific so changes in plant species composition can have important implications for food quality (Berg & Meentemeyer, 2002). In Sweden long-term monitoring of butterfly species composition showed that species dependent on nutrient-poor vegetation decreased, whereas species dependent on vegetation more typical of nutrient-rich conditions tended to increase (Ockinger, Hammarstedt, Nilsson, & Smith, 2006). Furthermore, in a survey of 1,450 plots Konvicka, Hula, and Fric (2003) found that plots where *Euphydryas aurinia* (marsh fritillary) was present had lower Ellenberg N scores than ones where it was absent (Konvicka et al., 2003). Finally Schirmel and Fartmann (2014) found that threatened butterfly species (*Hipparchia semele*, *Plebeius argus*) were negatively affected by an increase in grass cover and vegetation density.

Dense swards caused by N deposition have the potential to reduce soil temperatures and create cooler microclimates within vegetation (van Noordwijk, Boer, Mabelis, Verberk, & Siepel, 2012; WallisDeVries & Swaay, 2006). Thermophilous organisms that develop in the spring, such as butterflies hibernating eggs or larvae, are particularly sensitive to microclimate cooling. Observational studies in Europe have demonstrated comparatively greater declines in countries with oceanic climates and high N deposition (WallisDeVries & Swaay, 2006). A combination of experiments and observational studies pointed towards microclimate cooling as a cause of declines in populations of the wall brown butterfly (*Lasiommata megera*) (Klop, Omom, & WallisDeVries, 2015). Soil temperature is very important for some ant species and van Noordwijk et al. (2012) suggested microclimate cooling could be impacting ant populations in calcareous grasslands in the Netherlands if management is not adapted to take account of additional N. Other studies have indicated that the interaction between N deposition and management may be a factor in the decline of carabids in dry dune grasslands (Nijssen, Alders, van der Smissen, & Esselink, 2001) and in serpentine grasslands (Weiss, 1999).

Very few studies have considered potential impacts of N deposition on phenology, however, Throop and Lerdau (2004) suggest that because many folivores prefer new leaf tissues and N fertilisation can increase the rate of leaf development. This is an area in need of further investigation. Pöyry et al. (2017) suggest that in future we may see increased dominance of large, dispersive, dietary generalist or those specialised on nitrophilous plant species and those that reproduce more than once per year. Using 25 years of records for the Netherlands WallisDeVries and Swaay (2017) have demonstrated this is the case, showing that there has been an increase in insect species from more productive environments, of mobile species with high reproductive capacity and rapid larval development.

3.1.2 | Pollinators

The decline of insect pollinators in recent decades is due to a multitude of factors of which loss of foraging habitat is a major contributor (Biesmeijer et al., 2006; Goulson, Lye, & Darvill, 2008; Potts et al., 2010; Vanbergen & Initiative, 2013) but the role of N deposition on driving the decline in habitat quality for pollinators has received relatively little attention. Nitrogen can impact plant–pollinator interactions via a number of mechanisms, these can be grouped into two of the key pathways suggested by Nijssen et al. (2017); (1) changes in food plant quantity (through shifts in plant community composition), (2) nutritional quality, in addition a third pathway via changes to phenology. Competitive exclusion of more stress-tolerant plant species has been shown to reduce the functional diversity of flowering plants (Helsen et al., 2014) with associated impacts on pollinator diversity (Biesmeijer et al., 2006; Potts, Vuliiamy, Dafni, Ne'eman, & Willmer, 2003). As N deposition increases, *Fabaceae*, forbs, and insect-pollinated plants have been shown to decrease (Helsen et al., 2014; Stevens et al., 2010; Storkey, Macdonald, et al., 2015). However, some valuable nectar and pollen resources, such as *Taraxacum officinale* and *Cirsium arvense* (Baude et al., 2016; Hicks et al., 2016), have high Ellenberg N scores and could respond positively to eutrophication. Therefore, overall impact on resources for flower-visiting insects is as yet unpredictable and subject to specific conditions.

Atmospheric N deposition also has the potential to alter flowering phenology (and the amount and availability of pollen and nectar rewards as a consequence); potentially causing phenological asynchrony (Rafferty & Ives, 2011). However, the effect of N deposition on flowering phenology represents a knowledge gap and the few studies show high variability in species response to soil fertilisation. For instance forb flowering times have been observed to accelerate (Cleland et al., 2006), delay (Liu et al., 2017; Xia & Wan, 2013), or show no response (Cleland et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2017; Xia & Wan, 2013). The bloom duration time has also shown similar interspecies variation (Xia & Wan, 2013). Although some studies on single, isolated species have shown accelerated flowering phenology (Hoover et al., 2012), this does not necessarily describe field-realistic responses as there is no effect of competition between plants.

Several studies have found an enhanced energy output on floral production in response to increased N application, manifested in a greater number of flowers (Burkle & Irwin, 2009; Hoover et al., 2012; Muñoz, Celedon-Neghme, Cavieres, & Arroyo, 2005; Viik, Mänd, Karise, Lääniste, & Williams, 2012) and larger floral units (Burkle & Irwin, 2009; Hoover et al., 2012). However, as with other pathways, there seems to be inter-species variation in responses (Burkle & Irwin, 2009).

Nitrogen deposition and soil acidification can influence plant-pollinator interactions by affecting food quality; specifically, nectar and pollen. Even if a plants population remains stable under N deposition, there may be changes in how effectively it can supply nutrition to flower-visiting insects. Burkle and Irwin (2009), using two montane study species, found increased nectar production in *Ipomopsis aggregata* but not *Linum lewisii*. Furthermore, Viik et al. (2012) found synthetic fertilisers increased the nectar production of spring oilseed rape. In contrast, increased soil N was found to reduce the overall nectar-sugar reward to pollinators in a lepidoptera-pollinated orchid, *Gymnadenia conopsea* (Gijbels, Van den Ende, & Honnay, 2014). Even if the total sugar reward of nectar or pollen is unaffected, the composition of sugars can be altered by N addition (Ceulemans, Hulsmans, Van den Ende, & Honnay, 2017; Hoover et al., 2012). However, this is also species-specific, with other plant species not showing a change in sugar composition (Gijbels, Ceulemans, Van den Ende, & Honnay, 2015).

Although sugar is the main component of nectar, amino acids and other secondary compounds can affect the choices and health of pollinators. Soil nutrient enrichment has been shown to alter the concentration and composition of amino acids in nectar. Studies have shown fertilisation consistently increases glutamine (Ceulemans, Hulsmans, Van den Ende, et al., 2017; Gardener & Gillman, 2001; Gijbels et al., 2014), and often increase asparagine (Ceulemans, Hulsmans, Van den Ende, et al., 2017; Gijbels et al., 2014). Ceulemans, Hulsmans, Van den Ende, et al. (2017) also found increased asparagine in the pollen of *Succisa pratensis*, an N-sensitive species. Interestingly, Gardener and Gillman (2001) observed that soil enrichment increased production of glutamine and proline and decreased production of GABA (Gamma-aminobutyric acid) in the nectar of *Agrostemma githago*. These three amino acids share a common biosynthetic pathway (from α-ketoglutarate), so is suggestive of an underlying mechanism through which nectar amino-acid production responds to fertilisation.

3.1.3 | Impact of nitrogen deposition on functional effect traits

Previous analyses of the effect of increasing atmospheric N deposition on the functional composition of vegetation communities have focussed on a suite of response traits (sensu Lavorel & Garnier, 2002) that are associated with resource competition. Helsen et al. (2014) concluded that grasslands with higher N loads had a decreased functional diversity and an increase in the proportion of clonal graminoids. Some inferences can be drawn from these results in terms of the implications for higher trophic groups that use the plants as a food resource. However, in previous studies traits were not chosen with trophic effects in mind. In order to determine the value of a plant community to phytophagous invertebrates and pollinators we have conducted a traits analysis using data collected by Stevens, Dise, Mountford, and Gowing (2004). Briefly, Stevens et al. (2004) surveyed 68 acidic grasslands in the UK on a gradient of N deposition ranging from approximately 5 to 35 kg N ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$. Data on relevant plant effect traits were taken from the following sources: nectar rewards delivered on an areas basis by the commonest flowering plants in the UK (Baude et al., 2016); flowering onset and duration (Fitter & Peat, 1994; Rose & O'Reilly, 2006) and a range of morphological flower traits (Table S1). In addition, two leaf traits, LDMC (g/g) and SLA (g/m^2) were included in the analysis as

TABLE 1 Analysis of 64 acidic grasslands in the UK on a gradient of N deposition ranging from approximately 5 to 35 kg N ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$ to investigate the relationship between plant effect traits and environmental drivers

	N deposition	S deposition	pH	Altitude	Latitude	Longitude	N deposition × S deposition
Community							
Forb				−0.0016*			
Woody				+0.0073**	+0.40**		
Fern		+0.19*					
Vegetative							
SLA			+3.41***				
Pollinator syndrome							
Generalist				−0.0027*		−0.23*	
General bee			−1.088*		+0.19*		
Large bee	−0.010**		+1.79*		−0.33**		
Long-tongue	−0.16***						

Results from GLMs that show significant explanatory variables, using all plant species, without corolla morphology, colour or flowering phenology traits. The values are the estimate, or coefficient, given by the models. The asterisks represent *p*-value significance (*< .05, **<.01, ***<.001). The following variables showed no significant results: Community—grass, legume; Vegetative—LDMC; Nectar traits—nectar production, total sugars; Pollinator syndrome—none, small generalist.
SLA, specific leaf area; LDMC, leaf dry matter content.

indicators of leaf palatability and potential to support phytophagous invertebrate communities (Perez-Harguindeguy et al., 2003; Storkey et al., 2013; Storkey, Doring, et al., 2015). These data were obtained from the LEDA trait database (Kleyer et al., 2008).

As it is known that increasing fertility and decreasing pH favour graminoids over forbs and legumes (Maskell et al., 2010; Stevens et al., 2006; Storkey, Macdonald, et al., 2015), two separate analyses were conducted. First, community weighted means (CWM) were calculated including all species in the community but excluding flower effect traits that are not relevant to grasses. This allowed the impact of the environmental variables on proportions of functional groups, leaf traits and also the overall provision of nectar and value to pollinators to be quantified. A second analysis was done that included all traits, including flower morphology but excluded the grasses to study the impact of changes in the environment on the provision of resource by the forb and legume community. As well as rate of N, sulphur deposition and topsoil pH, latitude, longitude and altitude were also included as explanatory variables in Generalised Linear Models. The categorical traits were rendered as factors with binomial CWM scores and modelled with a quasibinomial distribution to account for underdispersion. The continuous variables were modelled with a quasi distribution when necessary, to account for overdispersion. The models were simplified by step-wise removal of non-significant variables and by checking the model assumptions and histogram of studentised residuals.

The results of conducting GLM models with all plant species (Table 1) indicated that plants with a high SLA and plants pollinated by long-tongued insects and large bees (bumblebees, honeybees and large solitary bees) are impacted negatively by decreasing pH and increasing N deposition. More generalist bee-pollinated plants, conversely, increased with lower pH; this may be because the response is a CWM, shown as a proportion, so if one functional group decreases, such as large bee-pollinated plants, the proportion of other groups will increase. Nevertheless, it shows that under N deposition and soil acidification, long-tongued flower-visitors and large bees such as bumblebees and honeybees will be impacted, but smaller bee species will still have available forage. Long-tongued bees have a narrower diet specificity, so are more likely to decline than short-tongue bees and are a key conservation concern (Biesmeijer et al., 2006; Goulson et al., 2008). Therefore, this result may be particularly informative in understanding pollinator declines. Plants that are primarily pollinated by bumblebees, such as many *Fabaceae*, are furthermore shown to have highly nutritious pollen (Hanley, Franco, Pichon, Darvill, & Goulson, 2008). Therefore, the decline of plants pollinated by large bees may impact the total nutritional resource in an area undergoing heavy N deposition. The change in direction for the N × S interaction indicates that effects of N and S are not truly additive indicating a likely combination of acidification and eutrophication as mechanisms.

The response of traits within the flowering communities (Table 2) reflects the positive effect of pH on nectar production, plants pollinated by long-tongued insects, plants with larger flowers, earlier flowering species and both compound and zygomorphic flowers. N deposition decreases overall large bee-pollinated plants, and yellow flowers, and increases plants with white flowers and plants with brush-type inflorescences.

These results further emphasise the negative effect of N deposition and soil acidification on plants pollinated by long-tongued insects and large bees. This phenomenon is also expressed by the negative effect of soil acidification on zygomorphic flowers. Many plants with zygomorphic flowers, such as *Fabaceae* and *Lamiaceae*, are specialised to bee-pollination. The quantity of nectar produced

TABLE 2 Analysis of vegetation data from 60 acidic grasslands in the UK on a gradient of N deposition ranging from approximately 5 to 35 kg N ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$ to investigate the relationship between plant effect traits and environmental drivers

	N deposition	S deposition	pH	Altitude	Latitude	Longitude	N deposition × S deposition
Nectar traits							
Nectar production		−8.21*	+95.97**				
Total sugars				+0.35*			
Symmetry							
Actinomorphic			−0.88**				
Zygomorphic			+0.88**				
Reward presentation							
Anemophilous		+0.09*				+0.27*	
Flower morphology							
Compound			+1.60**		−0.33**		
Dish				−0.0025*			
Brush	+0.15*	+0.26*			−0.18*		−0.011*
Bell				+0.0036*			
Tubular							−0.015*
Landing area (diameter)		−0.28*	+2.87*				
Pollinator syndrome							
None		+0.09*				+0.28*	
Generalist				−0.0027***			
Small generalist					−0.24*		
General bee				+0.0028			
Large bee	−0.29***	−0.37***		−0.0057**			+0.023***
Long-tongue		−0.17**	+1.49**				
Colour							
Blue/purple		−0.089*			+0.18**		
Pink/red				+0.0027*			
White	+0.17**	+0.23**			−0.15*		−0.012**
Yellow	−0.17**	−0.22**		−0.0047***			+0.0023*
Other		+0.11*					
Phenology							
Last flowering				−0.0016**	+0.084**		
Seasonality							
Early			+1.04*				
Late					+0.20*		

Results from GLMs that show significant explanatory variables, using only flowering plant species, and with all traits. The values are the estimate, or coefficient, given by the models. The asterisks represent *p*-value significance (*< .05, **<.01, ***<.001). Variables with no significant responses were as follows: Reward presentation—open, hidden; Flower morphology—gullet, flag; Phenology—first flowering, duration; Seasonality—mid, all-year.

also increases with pH; therefore soil acidification could cause areas to either be less attractive to pollinators or less able to support a pollinator community. Many compound flowers are rich nectar sources, such as *Taraxacum officinale* agg., *Senecio jacobea* and *Centaurea nigra* (Baude et al., 2016; Hicks et al., 2016). The decline of compound flowers with soil acidification may explain the reduced nectar productivity with low pH. Brush-type blossoms, which increased with N deposition, are found on plants that encompass a range of value to pollinators; from *Plantago major* with no benefit to *Salix* spp. which are important early nectar and pollen sources for bees. Therefore, it is difficult to understand the consequences of this result without knowing which species are affected. Soil acidification reduced the proportion of plants with larger inflorescences, and also of earlier flowering species, which may be important for bees establishing



FIGURE 1 Conceptual model linking plant response and effect traits to invertebrate response traits for folivorous invertebrates and pollinators (after Lavorel et al., 2013). Plant response traits were derived from the analysis of Helsen et al. (2014); additional effect traits were identified from the results of the new analysis of the Stevens et al. (2004) data. Where a plant trait both responds to the environmental driver and has an effect on the next trophic level or where plant response traits are correlated with plant effect traits (represented by ~), the model predicts an indirect impact of the driver on invertebrate communities. The model should be viewed as conceptual tool to identify relevant traits and integrate data from disparate studies on individual linkages to generate hypotheses on the response of the whole system to given drivers. This could be further validated with additional data collected on the functional composition of invertebrate communities (for which information is lacking) using techniques such as structural equation modelling

new colonies after hibernation. Another notable effect of N deposition is the increase in white flowers at the expense of yellow flowers.

Where different published studies have quantified functional relationships for separate parts of the environmental driver → primary producer → consumer pathway, these can be combined in a conceptual model using the response and effect traits of each trophic level (Lavorel et al., 2013). This has been done for invertebrate herbivores and pollinators (Figure 1) and could be used as a framework for a quantitative assessment of the whole system using structural equation modelling. However, such an analysis will depend on additional empirical data that are currently lacking in the literature specifically on the invertebrate traits that respond to functional shifts in primary producers.

3.1.4 | Mammal herbivores

There have been a number of studies that have considered interactions between N deposition and grazing animals but most of these studies have been focussed on the impacts on vegetation rather than the impact on the grazing animals (e.g. Pearce, Britton, Armitage, & Jones, 2010; Plassmann, Jones, & Edwards-Jones, 2010). There is also a literature concerned with the health of domestic grazing animals and hay quality (Westwood, Bramley, & Lean, 2003). Since N deposition often increases graminoids and leaf N content it could be supposed that for many grazing animals, especially non-selective grazers, there is likely to be a benefit and many herbivores will preferentially graze fertilised plots in experiments (Nams, Folkard, & Smith, 1996).

As with insect herbivores, species most likely to decline are those that have a limited range of food sources and their food source is sensitive to N deposition. Horsehair lichens (*Bryoria* spp.) are very sensitive to N deposition and are thought to decline in occurrence from levels as low as 2.4 kg N ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$. These lichens provide majority of the winter diet and nesting material of the northern flying squirrel (*Glaucomys sabrinus*) and population declines have been linked to N deposition in the Marine West Coast Forests Ecoregion. Horsehair lichens also constitute the bulk of winter forage for the woodland caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*) (Clark et al., 2017).

3.2 | Secondary consumers

The increased availability of N available to organisms could have far reaching impacts on food webs though altered energy transfer and trophic interactions (Meunier, Gundale, Sánchez, & Leiss, 2016). With the paucity of studies investigating impacts on primary consumers it is no surprise that there are few studies which have investigated impacts on secondary consumers and further down the food chain. In the majority of studies that have been conducted the food source is insects although declines in horsehair lichens described above have been linked to populations of the northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*) as northern flying squirrel are a primary prey source (Clark et al., 2017).

Studies that have investigated links between N deposition and secondary consumers have found mixed responses. de Sassi and Tylianakis (2012) used N fertilisation (50 kg N ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$) across an altitudinal gradient in New Zealand to investigate impacts of N and climate on plants, herbivores and the parasitoids the herbivores host. They found significant effects of N on plants and marginally significant effects on the herbivores but no effect on parasitoids. However, in a long-term experiment in prairie grassland Haddad, Haarstad, and Tilman (2000) found numerous impacts throughout the food chain. Herbivore richness responded negatively to reduced plant species richness but biomass increased. Plant litter biomass also increased leading to an increase in the richness and abundance of detritivores. Parasitoids showed reduced abundance in response to N addition as did predator species richness but omnivorous species showed no change in abundance or richness.

Several studies have investigated impacts of acidification on birds. Birds have a large requirement for calcium for reproduction but they do not store it. Invertebrates and snail shells are an important calcium sources and in acidified areas lower calcium levels have been observed in food sources (Graveland, Van der Wal, van Balen, & Van Noordwijk, 1994; Ormerod, O'Halloran, Gribbin, & Tyler, 1991; Pabian & Brittingham, 2007). In forests in the USA acidification was observed to result in reduced snail abundance, bird abundance and bird richness (Pabian & Brittingham, 2007) whilst reduced snail abundance, egg shell defects and clutch desertion in forest passerines was observed in the Netherlands (Graveland et al., 1994). Similar impacts have been observed for Dippers (*Cinclus cinclus*) who feed on freshwater invertebrates. Individuals feeding in acidified streams showed delayed laying, less clutches, smaller clutch and brood sizes, and slower nestling growth (Ormerod et al., 1991).

Impacts on birds have also been observed related to habitat deterioration. The red backed shrike (*Lanius collurio*) has declined across the Netherlands due to habitat loss but in some places these declines have been exacerbated by N deposition. Red backed shrike need a high diversity of pretty species which requires a heterogenous landscape. Due to scrub and grass invasion related to N deposition the coastal dune habitats in which they feed have become more homogenous reducing the availability of larger prey species (Dise et al., 2011). Similarly in the UK N deposition has been implicated in the decline of dotterel (*Charadrius morinellus*) where the moss-sedge heaths in which they live have been replaced by grass and sedge dominated communities (Hayhow et al., 2015).

4 | KNOWLEDGE GAPS AND RESEARCH NEEDS

The impacts on N deposition on plants have been a focus of research attention for several decades, although knowledge gaps remain for less common habitats and species. There are also major knowledge gaps from some parts of the world where N deposition is likely to increase in the future (Phoenix et al., 2006). But there is generally a good understanding of potential mechanisms and impacts. This is not true of primary and secondary consumers. For insect herbivores and pollinators there is a patchy knowledge base which serves to indicate that there are very likely considerable impacts via a range of mechanisms. However, there is a clear need to build this evidence base to show the extent of these impacts across more species, habitats and regions and the relative importance of different mechanisms of impact. This is particularly true of pollinators where recent population declines present a serious threat to food security (Biesmeijer et al., 2006).

There is a clear need for more research to estimate the extent of impacts of N deposition on mammal herbivores. The few studies that have considered this have indicated some likely impacts but these are correlative rather than experimental and have only considered a very small number of species. The further up the food chain we move the less studies have been conducted to investigate potential impacts of N deposition. This is partly because studies become more challenging to devise, conduct and interpret, and partly because awareness as N deposition as a potential driver is less. The few studies that have investigated secondary consumers indicate that there is a very clear need for further research on species in habitats where the plant community is negatively impacted by N deposition.

In terms of mechanisms there is a need to give greater consideration to the impacts of N on phenology and the implications this could have for consumers. Studies investigating the impact of N deposition on phenology have been very limited but indicate that there may be a response for at least some species. If flowering times are changed this could result in decoupling of resources and peak feeding times.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

J.S. is supported by research programme NE/N018125/1 LTS-M ASSIST - Achieving Sustainable Agricultural Systems, funded by NERC and BBSRC. T.I.D.'s contribution is part of a studentship supported by the Lawes Agricultural Trust.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to writing the manuscript. C.J.S. collected data and T.I.D. and J.S. performed analysis.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

Data used in this manuscript is published as part of a larger dataset in Ecological Archives, accession number E092-128.

ORCID

Carly J. Stevens http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2390-1763

REFERENCES

Arroniz-Crespo, M., Leake, J. R., Horton, P., & Phoenix, G. K. (2008). Bryophyte physiological responses to, and recovery from, long-term nitrogen deposition and phosphorus fertilisation in

acidic grassland. *New Phytologist*, *180*, 864–874. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02617.x

Audusseau, H., Kolb, G., & Janz, N. (2015). Plant fertilization interacts with life history: Variation in stoichiometry and performance in nettle-feeding butterflies. *PLoS ONE*, *10*, e0124616. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124616

Baude, M., Kunin, W. E., Boatman, N. D., Conyers, S., Davies, N., Gillespie, M. A. K., … Memmott, J. (2016). Historical nectar assessment reveals the fall and rise of floral resources in Britain. *Nature*, *530*, 85–88. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16532

Berg, B., & Meentemeyer, V. (2002). Litter quality in a north European transect versus carbon storage potential. *Plant and Soil*, *242*, 83–92. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019637807021

Bertram, S. M., Schade, J. D., & Elser, J. J. (2006). Signalling and phosphorus: Correlations between mate signalling effort and body elemental composition in crickets. *Animal Behaviour*, *72*, 899–907. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2006.02.012

Biesmeijer, J. C., Roberts, S. P. M., Reemer, M., Ohlemuller, R., Edwards, M., Peeters, T., … Kunin, W. E. (2006). Parallel declines in pollinators and insect-pollinated plants in Britain and the Netherlands. *Science*, *313*, 351–354. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1127863

Bobbink, R., Hicks, K., Galloway, J., Spranger, T., Alkemade, R., Ashmore, M., … De Vries, W. (2010). Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on terrestrial plant diversity: A synthesis. *Ecological Applications*, *20*, 30–59. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-1140.1

Bobbink, R., Hornung, M., & Roelofs, J. G. M. (1998). The effects of airborne nitrogen pollutants on species diversity in natural and semi-natural European vegetation. *Journal of Ecology*, *86*, 717–738. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.1998.8650717.x

Bobbink, R., & Willems, J. H. (1987). Increasing dominance of *Brachypodium pinnatum* (L.) Beauv. in chalk grasslands: A threat to a species-rich ecosystem. *Biological Conservation*, *40*, 301–314. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(87)90122-4

Brunsting, A. M. H., & Heil, G. W. (1985). The role of nutrients in the interactions between a herbivorous beetle and some competing plant species in heathlands. *Oikos*, *44*, 23–26. https://doi.org/10.2307/3544038

Burkle, L., & Irwin, R. (2009). The effects of nutrient addition on floral characters and pollination in two subalpine plants, *Ipomopsis aggregata* and *Linum lewisii*. *Plant Ecology*, *203*, 83–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-008-9512-0

Cape, J. N., van der Eerden, L. J., Sheppard, L. J., Leith, I. D., & Sutton, M. A. (2009). Evidence for changing the critical level for ammonia. *Environmental Pollution*, *157*, 1033–1037. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.09.049

Caporn, S. J. M., Ashenden, T. W., & Lee, J. A. (2000). The effect of exposure to NO_2 and SO_2 on frost hardiness in *Calluna vulgaris*. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, *43*, 111–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-8472(99)00050-7

Carroll, J. A., Caporn, S. J. M., Johnson, D., Morecroft, M. D., & Lee, J. A. (2003). The interactions between plant growth, vegetation structure and soil processes in semi-natural acidic and calcareous grasslands recieving long-term inputs of simulated pollutant nitrogen deposition. *Environmental Pollution*, *121*, 363–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(02)00241-5

Ceulemans, T., Hulsmans, E., Berwaers, S., Van Acker, K., & Honnay, O. (2017). The role of above-ground competition and nitrogen vs. phosphorus enrichment in seedling survival of common European plant species of semi-natural grasslands. *PLoS ONE*, *12*, e0174380. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174380

Ceulemans, T., Hulsmans, E., Van den Ende, W., & Honnay, O. (2017). Nutrient enrichment is associated with altered nectar and pollen chemical composition in *Succisa pratensis* Moench and increased larval mortality of its pollinator *Bombus terrestris* L. *PLoS ONE*, *12*, 1–15.

Clark, C. M., Bell, M. D., Boyd, J. W., Compton, J. A., Davidson, E. A., Davis, C., … Blett, T. F. (2017). Nitrogen-induced terrestrial eutrophication: Cascading effects and impacts on ecosystem services. *Ecosphere*, *8*, e01877. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1877

Clark, C. M., & Tilman, D. (2008). Loss of plant species after chronic low-level nitrogen deposition to prairie grasslands. *Nature*, *451*, 712–715. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06503

Cleland, E. E., Chiarello, N. R., Loarie, S. R., & Mooney, H. A. (2006). Diverse responses of phenology to global changes in a grassland ecosystem. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *103*, 13740–13744. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600815103

Corbin, J., & D'Antonio, C. M. (2004). Competition between native perennial and exotic annual grasses: Implications for an historical invasion. *Ecology*, *85*, 1273–1283. https://doi.org/10.1890/02-0744

de Sassi, C., & Tylianakis, J. M. (2012). Climate change disproportionately increases herbivore over plant or parasitoid biomass. *PLoS ONE*, *7*, e40557. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040557

Dise, N. B., Ashmore, M., Belyazid, S., Bleeker, A., Bobbink, R., De Vries, W., … van den Berg, L. (2011). Nitrogen as a threat to European terrestrial biodiversity. In M. A. Sutton, C. M. Howard, J. W. Erisman, G. Billen, A. Bleeker, P. Grennfelt, … B. Grizzetti (Eds.), *The European Nitrogen Assessment* (pp. 463–494). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03222.x

Dise, N. B., & Wright, R. F. (1995). Nitrogen leaching from European forests in relation to nitrogen deposition. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *71*, 153–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127(94)06092-W

Eatough Jones, M., Fenn, M. E., & Paine, T. D. (2011). The effect of nitrogen additions on bracken fern and its insect herbivores at sites with high and low atmospheric pollution. *Arthropod-Plant Interactions*, *5*, 163–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-011-9125-8

Eatough Jones, M., Paine, T. D., & Fenn, M. E. (2008). The effect of nitrogen additions on oak foliage and herbivore communities at sites with high and low atmospheric pollution. *Environmental Pollution*, *151*, 434–442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.04.020

Fischer, K., & Fiedler, K. (2000). Response of the copper butterfly *Lycaena tityrus* to increased leaf nitrogen in natural food plants: Evidence against the nitrogen limitation hypothesis. *Oecologia*, *124*, 235–241. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420000365

Fitter, A. H., & Peat, H. J. (1994). The ecological flora database. *Journal of Ecology*, *82*, 415–425. https://doi.org/10.2307/2261309

Fowler, D., Coyle, M., Skiba, U., Sutton, M. A., Cape, J. N., Reis, S., … Voss, M. (2013). The global nitrogen cycle in the twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, *368*, 1–12.

Friedrich, U., von Oheimb, G., Kriebitzsch, K., & Weber, M. S. (2012). Nitrogen deposition increases susceptibility to drought – Experimental evidence with the perennial grass *Molinia caerulea* (L.) Moench. *Plant and Soil*, *353*, 59–71. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-1008-3

Galloway, J. N., Dentener, F. J., Capone, D. G., Boyer, E. W., Howarth, R. W., Seitzinger, S. P., … Vorosmarty, C. J. (2004). Nitrogen cycles: Past, present, and future. *Biogeochemistry*, *70*, 153–226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-004-0370-0

Gardener, M., & Gillman, M. (2001). The effects of soil fertilizer on amino acids in the floral nectar of corncockle, *Agrostemma githago* (Caryophyllaceae). *Oikos*, *92*, 101–106. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2001.920112.x

Gijbels, P., Ceulemans, T., Van den Ende, W., & Honnay, O. (2015). Experimental fertilization increases amino acid content in floral nectar, fruit set and degree of selfing in the orchid *Gymnadenia conopsea*. *Oecologia*, *179*, 785–795. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3381-8

Gijbels, P., Van den Ende, W., & Honnay, O. (2014). Landscape scale variation in nectar amino acid and sugar composition in a Lepidoptera pollinated orchid species and its relation with fruit set. *Journal of Ecology*, *102*, 136–144. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12183

Goulson, D., Lye, G. C., & Darvill, B. (2008). Decline and conservation of bumblebees. *Review of Entomology*, *53*, 191–208. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.53.103106.093454

Graveland, J., Van der Wal, R., van Balen, J. H., & Van Noordwijk, C. G. E. (1994). Poor reproduction in forrest passerines from decline of snail abundance on acidified soils. *Nature*, *368*, 446–448.

Grulke, N. E., Andersen, C. P., Fenn, M. E., & Miller, P. R. (1998). Ozone exposure and nitrogen deposition lowers root biomass of ponderosa pine in the San Bernardino Mountains, California. *Environmental Pollution*, *103*, 63–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(98)00130-4

Haddad, N. M., Haarstad, J., & Tilman, D. (2000). The effects of long-term nitrogen loading on grassland insect communities. *Oecologica*, *124*, 73–84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050026

Hanley, M. E., Franco, M., Pichon, S., Darvill, B., & Goulson, D. (2008). Breeding system, pollinator choice and variation in pollen quality in British herbaceous plants. *Functional Ecology*, *22*, 592–598. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01415.x

Hautier, Y., Niklaus, P. A., & Hector, A. (2009). Competition for light causes plant biodiversity loss after eutrophication. *Science*, *324*, 636–638. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1169640

Hayhow, D. B., Ewing, S. R., Baxter, A., Douse, A., Stanbury, A., Whitfield, D. P., & Eaton, M. A. (2015). Changes in the abundance and distribution of a montane specialist bird, the dotterel *Charadrius morinellus*, in the UK over 25 years. *Bird Study*, *62*, 443–456. https://doi.org/10.1080/00063657.2015.1054145

Helsen, K., Ceulemans, T., Stevens, C. J., & Honnay, O. (2014). Increasing soil nutrient loads of european semi-natural grasslands strongly alter plant functional diversity independently of species loss. *Ecosystems*, *17*, 169–181. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-013-9714-8

Hicks, W. K., Leith, I. D., Woodin, S. J., & Fowler, D. (2000). Can the foliar nitrogen concentration of upland vegetation be used for predicting atmospheric nitrogen deposition? Evidence from field surveys. *Environmental Pollution*, *107*, 367–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(99)00166-9

Hicks, D. M., Ouvrard, P., Baldock, K. C. R., Baude, M., Goddard, M. A., Kunin, W. E., … Stone, G. N. (2016). Food for pollinators: Quantifying the nectar and pollen resources of urban flower meadows. *PLoS ONE*, *11*, 1–37.

Hogan, E. J., Minnullina, G., Sheppard, L. J., Leith, I. D., & Crittenden, P. D. (2010). Response of phosphomonoesterase activity in the lichen *Cladonia portentosa* to N and P enrichment in a field manipulation experiment. *New Phytologist*, *186*, 911–925.

Hoover, S. E. R., Ladley, J. J., Shchepetkina, A. A., Tisch, M., Gieseg, S. P., & Tylianakis, J. M. (2012). Warming, CO_2, and nitrogen deposition interactively affect a plant-pollinator mutualism. *Ecology Letters*, *15*, 227–234. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01729.x

Horswill, P., O'Sullivan, O., Phoenix, G. K., Lee, J. A., & Leake, J. R. (2008). Base cation depletion, eutrophication and acidification of species-rich grasslands in response to long-term simulated nitrogen deposition. *Environmental Pollution*, *155*, 336–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.11.006

Kanakidou, M., Myriokfalitakis, S., Dasalakis, N., & Fanourgakis, G. (2016). Past, present and future atmospheric nitrogen deposition. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, *73*, 2039–2047. https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0278.1

Kleyer, M., Bekker, R. M., Knevel, I. C., Bakker, J. P., Thompson, K., Sonnenschein, M., … Peco, B. (2008). The LEDA Traitbase: A database of life-history traits of the Northwest European flora. *Journal of Ecology*, *96*, 1266–1274.

Klop, E., Omom, B., & WallisDeVries, M. F. (2015). Impact of nitrogen deposition on larval habitats: The case of the wall brown butterfly *Lasiommata megera*. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, *19*, 393–402. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-014-9748-z

Konvicka, M., Hula, V., & Fric, Z. (2003). Habitat of pre-hibernating larvae of the endangered butterfly *Euphydryas aurinia* (Lepidoptera:Nymphalidae): What can be learned from vegetation composition and architecture? *European Journal of Entomology*, *100*, 313–322. https://doi.org/10.14411/eje.2003.050

Lavorel, S., & Garnier, E. (2002). Predicting changes in community composition and ecosystem functioning from plant traits: Revisiting the Holy Grail. *Functional Ecology*, *16*, 545–556. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2002.00664.x

Lavorel, S., Storkey, J., Bardgett, R. D., de Bello, F., Berg, M. P., Le Roux, X., … Harrington, R. (2013). A novel framework for linking functional diversity of plants with other trophic levels for the quantification of ecosystem services. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *24*, 942–948. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12083

Liu, Y., Miao, R., Chen, A., Miao, Y., Liu, Y., & Wu, X. (2017). Effects of nitrogen addition and mowing on reproductive phenology of three early-flowering forb species in a Tibetan alpine meadow. *Ecological Engineering*, *99*, 119–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.11.033

Maskell, L. C., Smart, S. M., Bullock, J. M., Thompson, K., & Stevens, C. J. (2010). Nitrogen deposition causes widespread species loss in British habitats. *Global Change Biology*, *16*, 671–679. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02022.x

Meunier, C. L., Gundale, M. J., Sánchez, I. S., & Leiss, A. (2016). Impact of nitrogen deposition on forest and lake food webs in nitrogen-limited environments. *Global Change Biology*, *22*, 164–179. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12967

Millett, J., Svensson, B. M., Newton, J., & Rydin, H. (2012). Reliance on prey-derived nitrogen by the carnivorous plant *Drosera rotundifolia* decreases with increasing nitrogen deposition. *New Phytologist*, *195*, 182–188. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04139.x

Muñoz, A. A., Celedon-Neghme, C., Cavieres, L. C., & Arroyo, M. T. K. (2005). Bottom-up effects of nutrient availability on flower production, pollinator visitation, and seed output in a high-Andean shrub. *Oecologia*, *143*, 126–135.

Nams, V. O., Folkard, N. F. G., & Smith, J. N. M. (1996). Nitrogen fertilization simulates herbivory by snowshoe hares in the boreal forest. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *74*, 196–199. https://doi.org/10.1139/z96-024

Nijssen, M. E., Alders, K., van der Smissen, N., & Esselink, H. (2001). Effects of grass-encroachment and grazing management on carabid assemblages of dry dune grasslands. *Proceedings of the Section Experimental and Applied Entomology of the Netherlands Entomological Society Amsterdam*, *12*, 113–120.

Nijssen, M. E., WallisDeVries, M. F., & Siepel, H. (2017). Pathways for the effects of increased nitrogen deposition on fauna. *Biological Conservation*, *212*, 423–431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.02.022

Ockinger, E., Hammarstedt, O., Nilsson, S. G., & Smith, H. G. (2006). The relationship between local extinctions of grassland butterflies and increased soil nitrogen levels. *Biological Conservation*, *128*, 564–573. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.10.024

Ormerod, S. J., O'Halloran, J. O., Gribbin, S. D., & Tyler, S. J. (1991). The ecology of dippers *Cinclus cinclus* in relation to stream acidity in upland wales: Breeding perfomance, calcium physiology and nestling growth. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *28*, 419–433. https://doi.org/10.2307/2404559

Pabian, S. E., & Brittingham, M. C. (2007). Terrestrial liming benefits birds in an acidified forest in the northeast. *Ecological Applications*, *17*, 2184–2194. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0394.1

Pearce, I. S. K., Britton, A. J., Armitage, H. F., & Jones, B. (2010). Additive impacts of nitrogen deposition and grazing on a mountain moss-sedge heath. *Botanica Helvetica*, *120*, 129–137. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00035-010-0075-z

Pearson, J., & Stewart, G. R. (1993). Tansley review No. 56. The deposition of atmospheric ammonia and its effects on plants. *New Phytologist*, *125*, 283–305. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1993.tb03882.x

Perez-Harguindeguy, N., Diaz, S., Vendramini, F., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Gurvich, D. E., & Cabido, M. (2003). Leaf traits and herbivore

selection in the field and in cafeteria experiments. *Austral Ecology*, *28*, 642–650. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1442-9993.2003.01321.x

Phoenix, G. K., Hicks, W. K., Cinderby, S., Kuylenstierna, J. C. L., Stock, W. D., Dentener, F. J., … Ineson, P. (2006). Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in world biodiversity hotspots: The need for a greater global perspective in assessing N deposition impacts. *Global Change Biology*, *12*, 470–476. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01104.x

Pitcairn, C. E. R., Fowler, D., & Grace, J. (1995). Deposition of fixed atmospheric nitrogen and foliar nitrogen content of bryophytes and *Calluna vulgaris* (L.) Hull. *Environmental Pollution*, *88*, 193–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/0269-7491(95)91444-P

Pitcairn, C. E. R., Leith, I. D., Sheppard, L. J., Sutton, M. A., Fowler, D., Munro, R. C., … Wilson, D. (1998). The relationship between nitrogen deposition, species composition and foliar nitrogen concentrations in woodland flora in the vicinity of livestock farms. *Environmental Pollution*, *102*, 41–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(98)80013-4

Plassmann, K., Jones, M. L. M., & Edwards-Jones, G. (2010). Effects of long-term grazing management on sand dune vegetation of high conservation interest. *Applied Vegetation Science*, *13*, 100–112. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-109X.2009.01052.x

Potts, S. G., Biesmeijer, J. C., Kremen, C., Neumann, P., Schweiger, O., & Kunin, W. E. (2010). Global pollinator declines: Trends, impacts and drivers. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *25*, 345–353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.01.007

Potts, S. G., Vuliiamy, B., Dafni, A.Ne'eman, G., & Willmer, P. (2003). Linking bees and flowers: How do floral communities structure pollinator communities? *Ecology*, *84*, 2628–2642. https://doi.org/10.1890/02-0136

Pöyry, J., Carvalheiro, L. G., Heikkinen, R. K., Kühn, I., Kuussaari, M., Schweiger, O., … Franzén, M. (2017). The effects of soil eutrophication propagate to higher trophic levels. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *26*, 18–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12521

Rafferty, N. E., & Ives, A. R. (2011). Effects of experimental shifts in flowering phenology on plant-pollinator interactions. *Ecology Letters*, *14*, 69–74. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01557.x

Roelofs, J. G. M., Bobbink, R., Brouwer, E., & De Graaf, M. C. C. (1996). Restoration ecology of aquatic and terrestrial vegetation on non-calcareous sandy soils in the Netherlands. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica*, *45*, 517–541. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1996.tb00808.x

Rose, F., & O'Reilly, C. (2006). *The wild flower key* (Rev. ed.). London, UK: Frederick Warne.

Schirmel, J., & Fartmann, T. (2014). Coastal heathland succession influences butterfly community composition and threatens endangered butterfly species. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, *18*, 111–120. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-014-9619-7

Schuster, B., & Diekmann, M. (2003). Changes in species density along the soil pH gradient – Evidence from German plant communities. *Folia Geobotanica*, *38*, 367–379. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02803245

Slansky, F., Jr., & Feeny, P. (1977). Stabilization of the rate of nitrogen accumulation by larvae of the cabbage butterfly on wild and cultivated food plants. *Ecological Monographs*, *47*, 209–228. https://doi.org/10.2307/1942617

Stevens, C. J., Dise, N. B., Gowing, D. J., & Mountford, J. O. (2006). Loss of forb diversity in relation to nitrogen deposition in the UK: Regional trends and potential controls. *Global Change Biology*, *12*, 1823–1833. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01217.x

Stevens, C. J., Dise, N. B., Mountford, J. O., & Gowing, D. J. (2004). Impact of nitrogen deposition on the species richness of grasslands. *Science*, *303*, 1876–1879. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1094678

Stevens, C. J., Duprè, C., Dorland, E., Gaudnik, C., Gowing, D. J. G., Bleeker, A., … Dise, N. B. (2010). Nitrogen deposition threatens species richness of grasslands across Europe. *Environmental Pollution*, *158*, 2940–2945. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.06.006

Stevens, C. J., Dupre, C., Gaudnik, C., Dorland, E., Dise, N. B., Gowing, D. J., … Diekmann, M. (2011). Changes in species composition of European acid grasslands observed along a gradient of nitrogen deposition. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *22*, 207–215. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2010.01254.x

Storkey, J., Brooks, D., Haughton, A., Hawes, C., Smith, B. M., & Holland, J. M. (2013). Using functional traits to quantify the value of plant communities to invertebrate ecosystem service providers in arable lands. *Journal of Ecology*, *101*, 38–46. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12020

Storkey, J., Doring, T., Baddeley, J., Collins, R., Roderick, S., Jones, H., & Watson, C. A. (2015). Engineering a plant community to deliver multiple ecosystem services. *Ecological Applications*, *25*, 1034–1043. https://doi.org/10.1890/14-1605.1

Storkey, J., Macdonald, A. J., Poulton, P. R., Scott, T., Kohler, I. H., Schnyder, H., … Crawley, M. J. (2015). Grassland biodiversity bounces back from long-term nitrogen addition. *Nature*, *528*, 401–404. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16444

Sutton, M. A., Howard, C. M., Erisman, J. W., Billen, G., Bleeker, A., Grennfelt, P., … Grizzetti, B. (2011). *The European Nitrogen Assessment: Sources, effects and policy perspectives*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511976988

Throop, H. L. (2002). *Linking nitrogen deposition and herbivory: implications for insects, plants, and ecosystems*. PhD, State University of New York at Stony Brook.

Throop, H. L. (2005). Nitrogen deposition and herbivory affect biomass production and allocation in an annual plant. *Oikos*, *111*, 91–100. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2005.14026.x

Throop, H. L., & Lerdau, M. T. (2004). Effects of nitrogen deposition on insect herbivory: Implications for community and ecosystem processes. *Ecosystems*, *7*, 109–133.

Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J., & Befort, B. L. (2011). Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *108*, 20260–20264. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1116437108

Tyler, G., & Olsson, T. (2001). Concentrations of 60 elements in the soil solution as related to soil acidity. *European Journal of Soil Science*, *52*, 151–165. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2389.2001.t01-1-00360.x

Van den Berg, L. J. L., Vergeer, P., Rich, T. C. G., Smart, S. M., Guest, D., & Ashmore, M. R. (2011). Direct and indirect effects of nitrogen deposition on species composition change in calcareous grasslands. *Global Change Biology*, *17*, 1871–1883. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02345.x

van der Eerden, L. J. M. (1982). Toxicity of ammonia to plants. *Agriculture and Environment*, *7*, 223–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-1131(82)90015-7

van Noordwijk, C. G. E., Boer, P., Mabelis, A. A., Verberk, W. C. E. P., & Siepel, H. (2012). Life-history strategies as a tool to identify conservation constraints: A case-study on ants in chalk grasslands. *Ecological Indicators*, *13*, 303–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.06.028

Vanbergen, A.; Initiative IP. (2013). Threats to an ecosystem service: Pressures on pollinators. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *11*, 251–259. https://doi.org/10.1890/120126

Viik, E., Mänd, M., Karise, R., Lääniste, P., & Williams, I. (2012). The impact of foliar fertilization on the number of bees (Apoidea) on spring oilseed rape. *Zemdirbyste=Agriculture*, *99*, 41–46. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mct079

Vitousek, P. M., & Howarth, R. (1991). Nitrogen limitation on land and sea – How can it occur? *Biogeochemistry*, *13*, 87–115.

WallisDeVries, M. F., & Swaay, C. A. M. (2006). Global warming and excess nitrogen may induce butterfly decline by microclimatic cooling. *Global Change Biology*, *12*, 1620–1626. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01202.x

WallisDeVries, M. F., & Swaay, C. A. M. (2017). A nitrogen index to track changes in butterfly species assemblages under nitrogen deposition. *Biological Conservation*, *212*, 448–453. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.11.029

Weiss, S. B. (1999). Cars, cows, and checkerspot butterflies: Nitrogen deposition and management of nutrient-poor grassland for a threatened species. *Conservation Biology*, *13*, 1476–1486.

Westwood, C. T., Bramley, E., & Lean, I. J. (2003). Review of the relationship between nutrition and lameness in pasture-fed dairy cattle. *New Zealand Veterinary Journal*, *51*, 208–218. https://doi.org/10.1080/00480169.2003.36369

White, T. C. E. (1993). *The inadequate environment: Nitrogen and the abundance of animals*. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-78299-2

Xia, J., & Wan, S. (2013). Independent effects of warming and nitrogen addition on plant phenology in the Inner Mongolian steppe. *Annals of Botany*, *111*, 1207–1217.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Stevens CJ, David TI, Storkey J. Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in terrestrial ecosystems: Its impact on plant communities and consequences across trophic levels. *Funct Ecol*. 2018;32:1757–1769. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13063